







## UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virgnia 22313-1450 www.usplo.gov

APPLICATION NO	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/004,771	12/04/2001	Kenichi Yokoyama	1367-01	7931
75	90 10/20/2003		EXAMI	NER
IP Department			WORRELL JR, LARRY D	
Schnader Harris Suite 3600	son Segal & Lewis, LLP		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
1600 Market St	reet		3765	
Philadelphia, PA 19103			DATE MAILED: 10/20/2003	5

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

			$\wedge$ K
	Application No.	Applicant(s)	/-I <sub>1</sub> 13.
<b>&gt;</b>	10/004,771	YOKOYAMA ET AL.	
<ul> <li>Office Action Summary</li> </ul>	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Danny Worrell	3765	
The MAILING DATE of this communication ap	opears on the cover sheet w	ith the correspondence address	
Period for Reply  A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPI THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a re  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory, period  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statu  - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).  Status	136(a). In no event, however, may a ply within the statutory minimum of thi d will apply and will expire SIX (6) MOI te, cause the application to become A	reply be timely filed  ty (30) days will be considered timely.  NTHS from the mailing date of this communication (31) (32) (33).	cation.
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on			
2a)☐ This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b)☑ T	his action is non-final.		
Since this application is in condition for allow closed in accordance with the practice unde Disposition of Claims			rits is
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-11</u> is/are pending in the application	n.		
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdra	awn from consideration.		
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.			
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-11</u> is/are rejected.	•		
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.			
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/	or election requirement.		
Application Papers			
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examin	er.		
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acce	epted or b) objected to by	the Examiner.	
Applicant may not request that any objection to the	he drawing(s) be held in abey	ance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).	
11)☐ The proposed drawing correction filed on	_ is: a)□ approved b)□ o	lisapproved by the Examiner.	
If approved, corrected drawings are required in re	eply to this Office action.		
12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the E	xaminer.		
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120			
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreig	n priority under 35 U.S.C.	§ 119(a)-(d) or (f).	
a)⊠ All b)□ Some * c)□ None of:			
1. Certified copies of the priority documen	its have been received.		
2. Certified copies of the priority documen	its have been received in A	application No	
<ul> <li>3. Copies of the certified copies of the price application from the International Books</li> <li>* See the attached detailed Office action for a list</li> </ul>	ureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	_	:
14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domest	•		cation).
a) ☐ The translation of the foreign language pr 15)☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domes	ovisional application has b	een received.	
Attachment(s)	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,		
Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	5) Notice of	Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s) Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)	
			I

Application/Control Number: 10/004,771

Art Unit: 3765

## **DETAILED ACTION**

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

1. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claims 1 and 3-11 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Donaghy et al.

The disclosure of Donaghy et al. teaches the invention as claimed including a Raschel warp knit fabric in which loops of tuck warp (bar III) are engaged with loops of a ground structure (bar II) with an elongation of at least 20% in the warp direction. The method of making recitation "formed by a fall plate", as set forth initially in claim 3, is not germane to patentability of the product itself and therefor is considered non-controlling as to the metes and bounds of the claim. Note that the size of the yarn is at least 16.0 dtex.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 1. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Art Unit: 3765

2. Claim 2 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Donaghy et al.

The disclosure of Donaghy et al. teaches the invention substantially as claimed as indicated above in the rejection to claim 1. The disclosure of Donaghy et al does not set forth the specific ground fabric stitch as set forth in claim 2. It would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to choose from a number of different ground stitches including those as set forth in claim 2 in order to optimize the specific characteristics of the fabric such as strength and flexibility.

3. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Danny Worrell whose telephone number is 703/308-0889. The examiner can normally be reached on Tuesday-Friday.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, John Calvert can be reached on 703/305-1025. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (703) 872-9306.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 703/308-0861.

Primary Examiner
Art Unit 3765

LDW